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谷口 和也
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去る、2004年10月19日に、「カリキュラム改革の世界的動向・90年代以降における市民性の変容とカリキュラム改革」」と題して、尾形尚子基金による補助を受け、国際シンポジウムが開かれた。シンポジストは、以下の通りである。

谷口和也（東北大学大学院教育学研究科）
「90年代以降における市民性の変容とカリキュラム改革の国際的動向」
水原克敏（東北大学大学院教育学研究科）
「日本および中国におけるカリキュラム改革の現状」
戸田善治（千葉大学教育学部）
「EU統合とイギリスにおける市民性の変容」
C. F. Risinger（元・全米社会科協議会会長、元・インディアナ大学教授、元・ERIC 日米センター長）
「Challenges Facing Social Studies in the United States」

特に、今回、尾形尚子基金によって米国より招聘したC. F. Risinger は、1990年に全米社会科協議会の会長を務め、ナショナルカリキュラムの作成や、各州の社会科カリキュラムの作成に深く関わってこられた。また、歴史教科書の編纂や、ERIC US-Japan Clearinghouseのセンター長として米国の教育事情にも詳しい。一方、千葉大学の戸田助教授は、元国立教育政策研究所（当時は国立教育研究所）にも務められ、現在、千葉大学で歴史教育を中心に研究しておられる。氏は、イギリスの歴史教育を中心に研究してこられたが、このたび、EUとの関連でイギリスの市民性の変容と教育改革について講演をいただいた。

シンポジウムは、10月19日（火）の13：00～15：00、東北大学文化学系総合棟の11階大会議室で、約80人の学部生、大学院生、および教員の参加のもと行われた。

まず、谷口が、「90年代以降における市民性の変容とカリキュラム改革の国際的動向」と題して、1900年代以降、それまでの主権国家を基盤とした教育が変容してきたこと、また、新しい知のあり方が求められつつあること等を講演した。
つづいて、水原教授が、特に中国における教育改革の事例、中でも都市部の先進的実践
CHALLENGES FACING SOCIAL STUDIES IN THE UNITED STATES

C. Frederick Risinger

Coordinator of Social Studies, Professional Development and U.S.-Japanese Studies
Indiana University, Bloomington (Retired)

A Paper Delivered at the Symposium on the Imitational Movement of Curriculum Reform in Honor of the Ogata Naoko Memorial Lecture Series, Tohoku University, October 19 2004

I am honored to be invited to participate in the Ogata Naoko Memorial Symposium on the International Movement of Curriculum Reform. The family of Miss Naoko should be commended on establishing this program of supporting the international activities of undergraduate students at Tohoku University. And the administrators and faculty of Tohoku University should be commended for their leadership in establishing and fostering this program.

When Professor Taniguchi invited me to visit Tohoku University and participate in
this symposium, he said that I was respected in Japan as a researcher in the field of social studies education. I do not consider myself a specialist in social studies research. Instead, I consider myself an “observer” of social studies education in the United States...and observer from many vantage points...from many points of view. I have viewed social studies as a classroom teacher for more than twelve years. I have observed social studies as a faculty member and teacher educator at Indiana University for more than 30 years. During that time, I observed social studies as a board member and president of the National Council for the Social Studies. Most recently, I have observed social studies in the United States as a director of professional development, working with social studies and other teachers as they meet the new rules and regulations for qualifying and maintaining teacher certification. I also observe social studies education from my role as an author of several social studies textbooks. I have been a co-author of three United States history textbooks, a book on Indiana history, and now a Kindergarten-6th grade social studies series. In the United States, a textbook series must have a team of authors that "looks like America." This means that there must be women and men authors, authors who are of Hispanic, African-American, Asian-American, Native American, and other ethnic origins. When a group of authors this large comes together to conceptualize the textbook and decide on the content, and teaching styles, one can learn a great deal by observing and listening.

I also did more than just observe. In preparation for this symposium, I wrote to 12 individuals who I respect for their knowledge and wisdom about social studies. I asked them what they believe to be the challenges facing American social studies. This group includes six former presidents of the National Council for the Social Studies, officials at two national professional associations, and other leaders in the field. I told them that I only wanted a few paragraphs that summarized their views and I promised that I wouldn't quote them directly. The results of this bit of research were quite remarkable. The 10 responses I received were so similar that all of them could have been written by the same person.

So, what do the results of my questions and my observations of social studies education in the United States tell us? They tell me that after nearly 100 years of existence as a subject in the kindergarten through 12th grade U.S. curriculum, social studies is still fragmented, teachers are still unsure about what they should be teaching, and the demands of standardized testing are leading many teachers to stop teaching students about participative citizenship in a democratic society. Instead, they
teach only content they believe will be on the test their students have to take.

I have identified six challenges that currently face the social studies teaching profession in the United States. This includes classroom teachers, university-level teacher educators, textbook authors, and members of professional associations such as the National Council for the Social Studies in the United States. These challenges have been around, in some form or another, throughout my career as a social studies educator. However, I think that they are stronger than they have been in the past and that social studies in the U.S., particularly in the elementary schools, is in danger. I will each of these in order, beginning with what I believe is the most serious challenge.

This was the Number 1 reaction that I received from my panel of leading social studies educators. One former president of NCSS used this phrase in her e-mail—“Fighting just to stay in the curriculum.” The passage of President Bush’s ‘No Child Left Behind” act has dramatically changed who controls the K-12 educational system. Just a few years ago, the states were more important than the national government in determining curriculum. Today, however, standardized testing of students in all grades is common. If students within schools do not meet certain standards, they must submit a "School Improvement Plan." If, in the next two years, the school does not improve, it can be labeled a “failed school.” Students, whose parents request them, can receive "vouchers" (permissions) to attend another school in that public school district or even a private school. If the school continues to fail, teachers and administrators may be moved to another school and a new team will be brought in. If the school district does not improve, the state (prefecture) can come in and completely take over the administration of the school district. However, in most states, social studies is not even on the test that students have to take. In my state of Indiana, like the majority of states, students are only tested and mathematics. Science and social studies are not tested. Only 14 of our 50 states include achievement tests in social studies in their accountability systems and many of them do not start testing social studies until the middle school years. In Alabama, for example, students are tested only once during their K-12 years in social studies-in Grade 10.

So what would you do if you were an elementary teacher in Indiana...or Alabama...or the other 36 other states that don't test social studies? You know that your students are going to be tested on reading and mathematics. You know that you will be compared to
the teacher next door…and your school will be compared to the school on the other side of town. -and your city's schools will be compared to those in a nearby city….and that your state will be compared to all other states. Even though the state and city curriculum says that you should spend 40 minutes each day on social studies, many teachers neglect social studies in order to concentrate more on reading and mathematics. According to one study, many school districts have required schools to spend 3 hours each day or reading. Principals are turning their back on the rules that say that social studies must be taught each day. It is not only social studies, but science, art, music, and other subjects are being ignored so that students will have increased time to learn mathematics and reading. One teacher said, "Without pressure to improve social studies instruction, districts are likely to spend much of their professional-development and instructional-materials budgets elsewhere. A social scientist with the Rand Corporation said, "When teachers and administrators are feeling the pressure from a testing system that emphasizes reading and math, the day will be restructured so there is less time available for other subjects."

Social studies textbook companies are struggling to meet this problem by enhancing their books with reading material and trying to persuade teachers and principals that, by using our textbook, you can improve your students' reading scores and still teach social studies. On the last two textbook projects I've worked on, one member of the author team was not a social studies person, but a reading specialist. Each company also packages a set of books (fiction and non fiction, including biography, stories, even novels) for each grade level. In many American school districts, social studies in the primary grades(K-3) has almost disappeared.

Those of us in social studies have emphasized that our courses help "prepare students for their role of citizens. Indeed, the NCSS Mission Statement states: "Social studies educators teach students the content knowledge, intellectual skills, and civic values necessary for fulfilling the duties of citizenship in a participatory democracy." But, in the past 20 years, critics of this mission statement—first from policymakers outside the profession—but now within our own ranks are calling for a return to "content," meaning factual knowledge from history and the social sciences. One recent book, which has angered many social studies educators, has a chapter titled, "The Education of Idiots." The authors, and his colleagues who contributed to the book "Where Did Social Studies Go Wrong," argue that students cannot learn the skills of citizenship.
without knowing the factual history of their country. This point of view is shared by many policymakers in the U.S. Department of Education and those who design the standardized tests. So, again, teachers are being forced to teach basic facts so that students can do well on the tests; even if this means eliminating activities and projects such as service learning.

This challenge is similar to Number 2, except that the struggle is not about the "mission" or goals of social studies; but, instead on how best to organize the curriculum. Since the exciting days of "The New Social Studies," that began in the 1960s, the concept that social studies was best taught when history and the social science disciplines were merged, or "integrated" into a "multidisciplinary" course. Instead of studying "history" or "geography, students would study a topic such as Australia or The Vietnam War, by using knowledge and patterns of leaning from history, geography, and the other social sciences. Again, the pressures of standardized testing and the No Child Left Behind law are coercing teachers into planning their lessons so that students lean factual information such as "What is the capital of Sri Lanka?" or "When Did the Pilgrims Land at Plymouth Rock?" The most influential set of state standards that of California is titled "California History/Social Science Standards." The term "social studies" does not appear in the document.

This issue, which has been around for at least two decades, is increasing in intensity at the present time in the United States because of two factors; (1) The September 11 attacks and the "War on Terrorism;" and the influx of foreign immigrants — both legal and illegal — from Latin America, eastern Europe, and Asia. During the 1970s and 1980s, there was a confluence of groups that advocated for textbooks and a curriculum that included all people who lived in the U.S., not just the white men who had previously dominated U.S. history and government. So, textbooks and the curriculum began to include African-Americans, women, Native Americans, disabled Americans, and others. The goal was to reduce prejudice and conflict between racial and ethnic groups, and bring women, Blacks, Hispanics, and others into the fabric of U.S. society. Many states passed laws that required textbooks to include all groups. The elementary social studies series that I recently worked on has pictures of
Mommy working on automobiles, Daddy doing dishes, and the kids playing with a boy in a wheelchair on the playground.

But there are groups now who claim that by emphasizing our “differences” by establishing “English as a Second Language” programs where students can continue to speak their native tongue, we are keeping our children (and their parents) from assimilating into the “core culture” and becoming “Americans. This challenge, I predict, will be with us for some time.

This challenge faces all subjects taught in the schools, not just social studies. Since the Reagan Presidency, Republicans have pushed for a voucher system where parents receive a “voucher” from the state government which can be used to pay for a private school—either a religious school or one that is not directly supported by tax dollars. I’ve already mentioned how vouchers can be used by parents to take their children out of “failed schools” and enroll them in private and church-related schools. Indeed, some observers—both teachers and others not involved in education—argue that the No Child Left Behind act is actually a first step toward dismantling the public schools. When parents can use vouchers to send their child to a private school, critics argue, it won’t be long until the only students in public schools will be poor, immigrants, from homes where parents don’t care about schools.

This challenge is also affected by the growing number of U.S. parents who are taking their children out of schools and are teaching them at home. The number of students who are “Home Schooled” as this is called, has more than doubled in the past 5-6 years. Many of these parents and students use textbooks and other materials that couldn't be used in public schools because they do not teach tolerance or diversity. For example, a 6th grade text titled, "Old World History and Geography In Christian Perspective," says this about India and Hinduism: "The effects of Hinduism have been devastating to Indian's history. Today, Indian is one of the poorest nations on earth. Their gods stand in the way of the people's health and their knowledge of the one True God Who promises to take care of all those who follow him." The private religious schools and home schooling are building up barriers rather than tearing them down. Of all the challenges that I’m discussing today this “religious divide” Is of most concern to me. It not only affects what is being taught in social studies, but it is increasingly dividing the U.S, into those who would impose their religious beliefs on all citizens and those who support the American concept of the separation of church and state.
All of us that are or have been classroom teachers know that there is usually a difference between what we learn about teaching as undergraduates and what we find out when we begin our first teaching job. I can’t tell you how many teachers (including me) who say, “I learned more about teaching in my first year than I did in four years of college.” In 1989 Jim Laming at Southern Illinois University wrote an article that said that there were "the theorists" – professors at the college level; and there were the "practitioners" – elementary and secondary classroom teachers. I think that this "Challenge" is probably both the easiest challenge to overcome… and, also the most difficult. In the United States, undergraduates are going out into the schools beginning in their first year and continuing throughout their undergraduate years. By the time they begin student teaching, usually in their last undergraduate semester, they have seen enough classrooms, students, and teachers to have a more accurate idea of what is expected of them.

So, in conclusion, how do I feel about these six challenges and the future of social studies in the United States? Frankly, I’m a bit pessimistic. Oh, there will always be social studies in American schools. But, there are societal forces – some of them a direct result of September 11 and the subsequent War on Terrorism and others tied to the current Bush administration. Multiculturalism, global education, and international cooperation are not favorite words and concepts in a Bush-led Department of Education. Even if John Kerry defeats George Bush, I don’t think there will be a rush back to global education or multicultural education in U.S. education policy. The No Child Left Behind act is almost as popular with the American public as it is disliked by teachers and school administrators. The notion that we should “make teachers and schools accountable” for the education that our children receive is supported by many Americans. In the most recent Phi Delta Kappa/Gf8nup Poll on the American public’s view of the schools, 81% of those surveyed said that “Lack of good teaching” is one of the reasons for learning failures in American schools.

In the long run, however, I believe that the pendulum will swing back. There is a growing number of Americans who are beginning to question the reliance on standardized testing to determine whether or not a school … or a teacher… is doing a good job. The concept of global education, which started in the U.S, but was improved and expanded in Japanese schools, is too powerful…and too important to ignore.
In the world of business, company leaders are fond of saying, "Challenges are really just opportunities for growth and improvement." I suppose that's the way I'd like to think of these six challenges. If American social studies educators can help Americans know the importance of social studies and how preparation for citizenship involves more than just memorizing factual information and passing a test. If we can show them that it involves active learning, community service, and development of analytical skills, then we might have created opportunities from these challenges.

Again, let me thank you for your invitation to participate in this important symposium.

Best wishes in your work.

[Sources Used for This Paper]